

HBsAg, anti-HBs and ALT kinetic characterization during NAP-based combination therapy of HBeAg negative chronic hepatitis B infection

L. Hershkovich^{1*}, L. Shekhtman^{1,2*}, M. Bazinet³, V. Pântea⁴, G. Placinta⁴, I. Moscalu⁵, V. Cebotarescu⁴, L. Cojuhari⁴, P. Jimbei⁶, L. Iarovoi⁴, V. Smesnoi⁶, T. Musteata⁶, A. Jucov^{4,5}, S.J. Cotler¹, A. Vaillant^{3#}, <u>H. Dahari^{1#}</u>

(1) Program for Experimental and Theoretical Modeling, Division of Hepatology, Department of Medicine, Stritch School of Medicine, School of Medic Institute, Northeastern, University, Boston, MA, USA; (3) Replicor Inc., 6100 Royalmount Ave., Montreal, Quebec, H4P 2R2, Canada. (4) Department of Infectious Diseases, Nicolae Testemitanu, State University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Chisinău, Republic of Moldova (5) ARENSIA Exploratory Medicine, Republican Clinical Hospital, Chisinău, Republic of Moldova; (6) Toma Ciorbă Infectious Clinical Hospital, Chişinău, Republic of Moldova. *equally contributed, #corresponding authors

INTRODUCTION

- Achieving a functional cure from Hepatitis B is rare with current therapy. Nucleic acid polymers (NAPs) are a new class of antiviral drugs (Fig. 1) which, when used in combination therapy, result in HBsAg loss with high rates of functional cure [1,2].
- The interplay among serum hepatitis B surface Antigen (HBsAg), anti-HBs, and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) kinetics during combination therapy with REP 2139-Mg or REP 2165-Mg, pegylated interferon alpha-2a (IFN) and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) has not been analyzed in detail.
- Clinical markers for tracking and predicting the probability of successful treatment need to be identified.

AIM

To characterize HBsAg, anti-HBs and ALT kinetics during 48week NAP-based triple therapy and identify their relationships with the treatment outcome.



Figure 1: Mechanism of NAPs-based antiviral drugs. NAPs block the production and secretion of subviral particles (SVPs) by hepatocytes, significantly reducing the amount of HBsAg in circulation.

METHODS

- Twenty chronic HBV-infected, HBeAg negative participants received 24 weeks of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) monotherapy.
- Participants then received 48 weeks of triple therapy including REP-2139-Mg or REP-2165-Mg, pegylated interferon alpha-2a (IFN), and TDF as described in [1].
- Serum HBsAg (Abbot Architect) and anti-HBs (Abbot Architect) were measured every two weeks, and serum ALT was measured weekly.
- Participants received follow-up testing for 48 weeks after end of therapy (EOT).

METHODS (CONT.) Analysis Methodology

- HBV DNA was not analyzed since it reached ≤2.1 log IU/mL in 19/20 participants during TDF monotherapy and remained low/undetectable throughout triple therapy.
- Distinctions between HBsAg phases were defined as at least a 2-fold change in slope.
- A single-phased decline was defined as monophasic, and two-phased declines were defined as biphasic.
- Patients with an overall reduction from baseline in HBsAg <1 log at EOT were defined as non-responders (NR).

CONCLUSIONS

- Monophasic HBsAg kinetic pattern may predict successful treatment with NAPS triple therapy.
- > Non-monophasic HBsAg kinetic pattern was associated with 100% NPV for achieving functional cure.
- Concomitant ALT flare and anti-HBs seroconversion with a rapid decline in HBsAg suggest that NAP-based therapy might lead to specific anti-HBV immune responses yet to be identified.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants R01-AI144112 and R01-AI146917.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bazinet et al., Gastroenterology 2020 Jun;158(8):2180-2194.
- [2] Bazinet et al., Journal Viral Hepatitis 2021 May;28(5):817-825.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Andrew Vaillant: availlant@replicor.com; Harel Dahari: hdahari@luc.edu

Outcomes- Monophasic HBsAg kinetic pattern associated with successful treatment

RESULTS

Three HBsAg kinetic patterns identified

• Three HBsAg kinetic patterns were found NR (n=4), monophasic decline (n=12), and biphasic decline (n=4). (Figure 3)

• HBsAg decline was delayed in NR (9 ± 2 wk) relative to monophasic and biphasic declines $(3 \pm 3 \text{ wk}, p = 0.001)$.

• Rate of HBsAg decline in monophasic patients was $0.52 \pm 0.22 \log IU/mL/week$, all reaching <LLoQ by week 18 \pm 8.

• The 1st phase of decline in biphasic participants $(0.25 \pm 0.15 \log IU/mL/week)$ was slower (p=0.02) relative to monophasic participants, followed by a second phase decline of $0.03 \pm 0.02 \log IU/mL/week$ with only one patient reaching HBsAg <LLoQ at EOT.

• There were no difference in kinetic patterns between REP 2139-Mg and REP 2165-Mg.



• As defined in [1] participants achieved either functional cure, partial cure, or virological rebound at follow-up

• The distribution of outcomes for each HBsAg kinetic pattern is shown in Figure 3.

 Positive and negative predicted values (PPV and NPV) associated with a monophasic viral kinetic pattern, and functional cure (FC) at follow-up are shown in Table 1

- 100% of patients who exhibited FC had a monophasic HBsAg kinetic pattern (NPV)
- Monophasic patients with FC had shorter (p=0.065) mean time to HBsAg LLoQ (17 wk) compared to monophasic non-FC patients (27 wk).

PPV	NPV	Sensitivity	Specificity
67%	100%	100%	67%

Table 1: Positive predictive values (PPV) and negative predictive values (NPV) for the probability that a monophasic HBsAg kinetic pattern during NAPs triple therapy results in functional cure after 48-week follow-up.

Figure 3: Distribution of outcomes for each HBsAg kinetic pattern. FC=Functional Cure, P=Partial Cure, VR = Virological Rebound





HBsAg Kinetic Pattern vs Outcome